2015 Wrap Up











Giving Credit

- ➤ Neely and Tabitha at COAPS for part of the meeting preparation (and finding a restaurant)
- ➤ Heather, Kyle and Jason for AV support
- ➤ Organizing Committee and Working Group leader for helping with the agenda
- ➤ Sponsors: NASA, COAPS, Florida State University, EUMETSAT, OSISAF
- > Participants for great content











Your talks – Posting on line

- ➤ Mark will post your talks and abstracts unless told otherwise
 - ➤ Unless the lead author is from JPL (default is that I need permission)
 - Email me to change the above mbourassa@fsu.edu
 - ➤ You have three weeks to respond then the talks will be posted

Future Meetings

Let Mark know if you would like changes to the session topics (e.g., add instrumentation issues for past missions, new working group) or special topics

Routes Forward

- ➤ Working groups have all outlined great issues to work on
 - ➤ What issues can be resolved with less than two years of collaboration?
 - ➤ Identify people to get the work done and a timeline
 - ➤ What can the organizing committee do to help?
 - ➤ Stress working group to recommend stress parameterization (and publish recommendation)
 - ➤ Jim (and Mark)
- ➤ Workshop on high wind speed and stress calibration
 - ➤ Jim leading (others willing to help)

More Routes Forward

- There was a clear consensus that the Ku and C-band climate data records are inconsistent at present (talks by Ad and Ernesto).
- These inconsistencies can be either due to GMF inconsistency (in training) or to basic differences in the physics of the measurement. With L-band winds coming on, these frequency differences will be accentuated.
- ➤ We recommend that high priority be given to attempts to reconcile the Ku- and C-band climate data record using the RapidScat data. (Ad, Frank, and Ernesto)

More Routes Forward

- ➤ Identify approaches for dealing with air density for model calibration
 - ➤ We recommend the developers of model functions provide a good description of the data used to train the model.
 - We need to better communicate what the resulting winds mean.
 - This task is linked to the prior task (same people)

Observing Requirements for CEOS: Issues

- > Early RapidSCAT results suggest that
 - > the diurnal cycle can be greater than long-term trends, and
 - > the semi-diurnal cycle is important in many regions
 - There could be a diurnal cycle in some higher impact weather events
- ➤ We know that Mid-latitude storms evolve through much of their life cycle in one or two days
- Therefore we suggest that the scatterometers observations should resolve the diurnal cycle
- Cross calibration is greatly enhanced by a non-sun-synchronous satellite
- ➤ Broad NWP assimilation studies found that observations from ASCAT and OSCAT, seperated by only 2 ½ hours, provided independent and beneficial impact to the forecasts.

Applying the Requirements

- ➤ The WMO requirement, of an observations within each 6 hour window, does not resolve the diurnal cycle, and is far from what is needed for the semidiurnal
- > Therefore we strongly recommend
 - ➤ <u>at least</u> three scatterometers in orbits designed to roughly meet the WMO requirements, and
 - ➤ One instrument in a non-sun-synchronous orbit to help with the diurnal cycle, better sampling at midlatitudes, and to improve intercalilibration.
- > Paul and Julia to communicate with CEOS

Next Meeting

- ➤ Will try and find a site in late May in Soporo, Japan
- ➤ Hosted by Profs. Ebuchi and Shimoda and JAXA